## Enantioselective Aggregation of Cobalt(III) Octahedrons in a One-Dimensional S-Bridged Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> Array That Leads to Spontaneous Resolution

Takumi Konno,\* Keiji Tokuda, Ken-ichi Okamoto,<sup>†</sup> and Masakazu Hirotsu Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Gunma University, Kiryu, Gunma 376-8515 <sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571

(Received August 25, 2000; CL-000796)

The reaction of  $[Co(aet)(en)_2](NO_3)_2$  ( $1(NO_3)_2$ ; aet = 2aminoethanethiolate; en = ethylenediamine) with AgNO<sub>3</sub> in water produced a novel S-bridged Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> chain complex-polymer,  $[Ag\{Co(aet)(en)_2\}](NO_3)_3$  ( $3(NO_3)_3$ ), by way of a Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup>Co<sup>III</sup> trinuclear complex,  $[Ag\{Co(aet)(en)_2\}_2](NO_3)_5$ ( $2(NO_3)_5$ ). The crystal structure of  $3(NO_3)_3$ , which crystallizes as a conglomerate, was determined by X-ray crystallography.

In 1979 Heeg et al. reported that the mono(thiolato)-type Co<sup>III</sup> complexes,  $[Co(aet)(en)_2]^{2+}$  (1) and  $[Co(tga)(en)_2]^+$  (tga = mercaptoacetate), readily form 1:1 and 2:1 adducts with AgI or Hg<sup>II</sup> in water.<sup>1</sup> Only the 2:1 adducts with Ag<sup>I</sup> that were isolated as solid samples have been characterized to have an S-bridged  $Co^{III}Ag^{I}Co^{III}$  trinuclear structure in  $[Ag\{Co(aet or tga)(en)_2\}_2]^{5+or}$ <sup>3+</sup>.<sup>1,2</sup> No further reports concerning these adducts have appeared to date, despite intensive interest concerning the structures and chiral properties of S-bridged polynuclear complexes constructed by the aggregation of octahedral thiolato metal complexes.<sup>3</sup> We, therefore, thought it worthwhile to reexamine this fundamental system, in order to find key factors to control the aggregation of thiolato complexes around metal ions. Here we report that the 1:1 adduct of [Co(aet)(en)<sub>2</sub>](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) and AgNO<sub>3</sub>, which is obtained by way of the 2:1 adduct  $[Ag{Co(aet)(en)_2}_2](NO_3)_5$ (2(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>), does not take a Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> dinuclear structure but a onedimensional chain structure in  $[Ag{Co(aet)(en)_2}](NO_3)_3$  $(3(NO_3)_3)$  in solid state (Scheme 1). The fascinating stereochemical behavior of 3, together with the significance of the counter anion for the aggregation of thiolato complexes around Ag<sup>I</sup> ion is also reported.



Treatment of a dark-brown aqueous solution of  $1(NO_3)_2^4$  with AgNO<sub>3</sub> in a ratio of 2:1 at room temperature gave a dark-red solution, from which a red crystalline powder ( $2(NO_3)_5$ ·4H<sub>2</sub>O) was isolated by adding an aqueous solution of NaNO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>5</sup> The ele-

mental and plasma emission analyses of this red product are in good agreement with the formula for an S-bridged Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup>Co<sup>III</sup> complex, [Ag{Co(aet)(en)\_2}\_2](NO\_3)\_5·4H\_2O. The molecular structure of **2** was determined by X-ray analysis for its ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> salt,<sup>6</sup> which was prepared by adding an aqueous solution of NaClO<sub>4</sub> to the aqueous solution of **2**(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>7</sup> As pointed out by Heeg,<sup>1</sup> in **2** one Ag atom is coordinated by two S atoms from two octahedral [Co(aet)(en)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> units to form an S-bridged Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup>Co<sup>III</sup> trinuclear structure. The S–Ag–S angle (164.50(8)°) is significantly deviated from 180°, which is inferred by three weak interactions between Ag<sup>1</sup> ion and ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> anions (Ag--O = 2.884(9) Å, 2.884(9) Å, and 3.01(1) Å). Considering the chiral configurations ( $\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$ ) for the two [Co(aet)(en)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> units, three isomers ( $\Delta\Delta$ ,  $\Lambda\Lambda$ , and  $\Delta\Lambda$ ) are possible for **2**. Crystal **2** consists of  $\Delta\Delta$  and  $\Lambda\Lambda$  isomers, which combine to form a racemic compound.



**Figure 1.** A perspective view for  $\Lambda$ -**3**(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> with the atomic labeling scheme. One of nitrate anions, which does not contact with Ag atom, besides H atoms, is omitted for clarity.

When an aqueous solution of  $2(NO_3)_5$  was treated with ca. 1 molar equiv of AgNO<sub>3</sub> at room temperature, the first d–d absorption band at  $20.33 \times 10^3$  cm<sup>-1</sup> characteristic for  $2^5$  shifted slightly to the lower energy side, from which a pink powder ( $3(NO_3)_3$ ·H<sub>2</sub>O) was isolated by adding an aqueous solution of NaNO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>8</sup> This product was also obtained directly from the 1:1 reaction of  $1(NO_3)_2$  with AgNO<sub>3</sub> in water at room temperature. The plasma emission analysis indicated that **3** contains Co and Ag atoms in a ratio of 1:1, and the elemental analysis is consistent with the 1:1 stoichiometry of  $[Co(aet)(en)_2](NO_3)_2$ ·AgNO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O. X-ray analysis of a single crystal of  $3(NO_3)_3$ ·H<sub>2</sub>O,<sup>9</sup> which was prepared by slow evaporation of an aqueous solution of the pink powder at room temperature, demonstrated that **3** is not a discrete S-bridged Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> dinuclear complex, but an unprecedented one-dimensional Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> chain complex-polymer. As shown in Figure 1, the S atom in each octahedral  $[Co(aet)(en)_2]^{2+}$  unit is bound to two Ag<sup>I</sup> ions to form a  $\mu_3$ -thiolato structure, such that the chain of  $[Ag{Co(aet)(en)_2}]^{3+}$  zigzags in the direction parallel to the c axis having the S–Ag–S angle of  $173.14(6)^{\circ}$ . In **3** the Ag–S bond distances (2.546(2) Å and 2.532(2) Å) are considerably longer than the Ag-S distance (2.400(1) Å) observed in 2. Since the Co-S distance in 3 (2.287(2) Å) is similar to that in 2 (2.259(2) Å), the much longer Ag-S distances in 3 are ascribed mainly to the bonding interaction of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> anions with Ag<sup>I</sup> ion (Ag--O = 2.657(6) Å, 2.725(7) Å, and 2.924(7) Å), which could diminish the electrophilicity of AgI atom toward the S atom in  $[Co(aet)(en)_2]^{2+}$ , rather than the coordination of  $\mu_3$ -thiolato S atoms in 3.10 Here it should be noted that in 3 the same configurational  $[Co(aet)(en)_2]^{2+}$  units are linked by Ag<sup>I</sup> ions to form a chiral Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> chain. Furthermore, **3**(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O is subject to spontaneous resolution to give a conglomerate,<sup>11</sup> which implies that one crystal of  $3(NO_3)_3$ ·H<sub>2</sub>O is composed of the same configurational Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> chains (Figure 2). For the crystal used for X-ray analysis, the absolute configuration for each  $[Co(aet)(en)_2]^{2+}$  unit was determined to be  $\Lambda$  from the Flack parameters, which were calculated for each configuration.12



**Figure 2.** A perspective view for  $\Lambda$ -3(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> along the *a* direction, showing the packing of the chain units in the crystal. Nitrate anions, besides H atoms, are omitted for clarity.

In contrast to the 1:1 reaction of  $2(NO_3)_5$  with AgNO<sub>3</sub>, the corresponding 1:1 reaction using  $2(CIO_4)_5$  and AgCIO<sub>4</sub> in water did not produce **3**; only  $2(CIO_4)_5$ ·2H<sub>2</sub>O was precipitated from the dark red reaction solution. This was also the case for the 1:1 reaction of  $2(BF_4)_5$  with AgBF<sub>4</sub> in water.<sup>13</sup> It is considered that neither  $CIO_4^-$  nor  $BF_4^-$  anion contacts with Ag<sup>I</sup> ions as closely as does the  $NO_3^-$  anion, because of the non-planar geometry and the lower nucleophilic character. Thus, the chain structure in **3** is stabilized by the close contact of  $NO_3^-$  anions with Ag<sup>I</sup> ion, which weakens the electrophilicity of Ag<sup>I</sup> ion, so as to permit two Ag<sup>I</sup> ions to bind to one thiolato S atom in  $[Co(aet)(en)_2]^{2+}$ .

In summary, it was demonstrated in this study that treatment of the mononuclear complex  $1(NO_{3})_2$  with AgNO<sub>3</sub> gives an unexpected one-dimensional Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> chain complex-polymer 3(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, besides the S-bridged Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup>Co<sup>III</sup> trinuclear complex  $2(NO_3)_5$ . The chain structure in 3 was found to be stabilized by the close contact of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> anions with Ag<sup>I</sup> ions, which diminishes the electrophilicity of AgI ion toward the thiolato S atom in  $[Co(aet)(en)_2]^{2+}$ . While **3** is no other than the aggregate of the mononuclear complex 1, 3 can be regarded as resulting from the aggregation of the S-bridged trinuclear complex 2 assisted by additional Ag<sup>I</sup> ion. Thus, a variety of aggregates based on S-bridged polynuclear complexes could be constructed by controlling the nuleophilicity of  $\mu_2$ -thiolato S atoms and/or the electrophilicity of bridging metal ions. The chain structure in  $3(NO_3)_3$  was found to isolate the chiral configurations ( $\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$ ) of  $[Co(aet)(en)_2]^{2+}$ , giving  $\Delta$ -Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> and  $\Lambda$ -Co<sup>III</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> enantiomeric chains, which separate from one another as homochiral crystals. Since the mononuclear complex  $\Delta/\Lambda$ -1 and the trinuclear complex  $\Delta\Delta/\Lambda\Lambda$ -2 are racemates, it is considered that the high organization of the octahedral mono(thiolato) Co<sup>III</sup> units in a one-dimensional chain array leads to a rare example of spontaneous resolution.14

## **References and Notes**

- 1 M. J. Heeg, R. C. Elder, and E. Deutsch, *Inorg. Chem.*, **18**, 2036 (1979).
- 2 M. J. Heeg, R. C. Elder, and E. Deutsch, *Inorg. Chem.*, **19**, 554 (1980).
- T. Konno and K. Okamoto, *Inorg. Chem.*, **36**, 1403 (1997); T. Konno, T. Machida, and K. Okamoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **71**, 175 (1998); K. Okamoto, C. Sasaki, Y. Yamada, and T. Konno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **72**, 1685 (1999); K. Tokuda, K. Okamoto, and T. Konno, *Inorg. Chem.*, **39**, 333 (2000); T. Konno, M. Hattori, T. Yoshimura, and M. Hirotsu, *Chem. Lett.*, **2000**, 853.
- 4 L. E. Asher and E. Deutsch, *Inorg. Chem.*, **12**, 1774 (1973); D. L. Nosco and E. Deutsch, *Inorg. Synth.*, **21**, 19 (1982).
- 5 Yield: 93%. Anal. Calcd for  $2(NO_3)_5$ 4H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 14.41; H, 5.24; N, 21.00; Co, 11.78; Ag, 10.78%. Found: C, 14.49; H, 5.10; N, 21.08; Co, 11.71; Ag, 11.01%. Visible–UV spectrum in H<sub>2</sub>O [ $\sigma_{max}$ , 10<sup>3</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> (log  $\epsilon$ , mol<sup>-1</sup> dm<sup>3</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 20.37 (2.48), 27.5 (2.8 sh), 35.19 (4.42).
- 6 Crystal Data for 2(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>5</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O: FW 1151.7, monoclinic, C2/c, a = 15.820(3) Å, b = 8.943(3) Å, c = 27.592(3) Å,  $\beta = 97.16(1)^\circ$ , V = 3873(1) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_c = 1.98$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $R(R_w) = 0.051$  (0.053) for 3230 reflections with  $I > 2.0\sigma(I)$ .
- Yield: 86%. Anal. Calcd for 2(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>5</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 12.51; H,4.20; N,12.16%. Found: C, 12.44; H, 4.24; N, 12.08%.
  Anal. Calcd for 3(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 12.71; H, 4.27; N, 19.76; Co,
- 9 Crystal data for  $\Lambda$ -3(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>O, FW 567.2, orthorhombic, P2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>, a = 13.852(2) Å, b = 15.746(1) Å, c = 8.135(2) Å, V = 1774.3(4) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_c = 2.12$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $R(R_w) = 0.033$  (0.033) for 1902 reflections with  $I > 2.0\sigma(I)$ .
- 10 It has been shown that in [Ag<sub>5</sub>{Rh(aet)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>4</sub>]<sup>5+</sup> the averaged Ag–S(µ<sub>3</sub>) bond distance is only ca. 0.05 Å longer than the averaged Ag–S(µ<sub>2</sub>) distance, while there is a marked difference in the averaged Ag–S bond distances (ca. 0.14 Å) between the two-coordinated and three-coordinated Ag atoms. T. Konno and K. Okamoto, *Inorg. Chem.*, **36**, 1403 (1997).
- 11 Each crystal that was picked up from the bulk showed a positive or negative CD sign at 280 nm. Since the 1:1 reaction of  $\Lambda$ -1 with Ag<sup>+</sup> gave ( $-)_{280}^{CD}$ -3, it is reasonable to assign that the ( $-)_{280}^{CD}$  and ( $+)_{280}^{CD}$  isomers have the  $\Lambda$  and  $\Delta$ -[Co(aet)(en)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>structures, respectively.
- 12 H. D. Flack, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, **39**, 876 (1983); H. D. Flack and G. Bernandinello, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, **41**, 500 (1985). Flack parameters refined for the  $\Lambda$  and  $\Delta$  structures give the values 0.07(6) and 0.93(6), respectively, suggesting that the former is the correct choice.
- 13 Anal. Calcd for 2(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>5</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 12.82; H, 4.66; N, 12.46; Co, 10.48; Ag, 9.59%. Found: C, 12.68; H, 4.84; N, 12.22; Co, 10.72; Ag, 9.72%.
- 14 J. Jacques, A. Collet, and S. H. Wilen, in "Enantiomers, Racemates and Resolutions," John Wiley & Sons Ltd., New York (1981).